

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | , FI                   | LING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR        | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/472,988     |                        | 12/28/1999  | TERUAKI OKUDA               | 35.G2524                | 9448             |
| 5514           | 7590                   | 08/27/2002  |                             |                         |                  |
|                |                        | LA HARPER & | EXAMINER SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R |                         |                  |
|                | EFELLER P<br>RK, NY 10 |             |                             |                         |                  |
|                |                        |             |                             | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                |                        |             |                             | 1774                    | 10               |
|                |                        |             |                             | DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002 | <i>1</i>         |
|                |                        |             |                             |                         |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | A  |
|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
| Advisory Action  | 09/472,988   | OKUDA, TERUAKI   |
| navice. y neuch  | Examin r   | Art Unit   |
|  | Pamela R. Schwartz   | 1774   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicati n app   | ears on the cover sheet w  | ith the correspondence address   |
| HE REPLY FILED 07 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE herefore, further action by the applicant is required to a nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | avoid abandonment of this  1) a timely filed amendme   | application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in  |
| PERIOD FOR R   | EPLY [check either a) or l   | b)]  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date later than SIX MONTHS from the SILED WITHIN TWO MONTH edate on which the petition und of extension and the correspond the shortened statutory period fice later than three months after | he mailing date of the final rejection.  HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  Iter 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action: or |
| . A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF  |  |  |
| .⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b   | pecause:   |  |
| (a)  they raise new issues that would require furth  | ner consideration and/or s   | earch (see NOTE below);  |
| (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note  | below);  |  |
| (c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or   | in better form for appeal b  | by materially reducing or simplifying the  |
| (d) M they present additional claims without cancel  | ling a corresponding num   | ber of finally rejected claims.  |
| NOTE: <u>The amendment to claim 1 would be end</u> . Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   |  | <u>L</u> .   |
| . Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | d be allowable if submitted  | d in a separate, timely filed amendment  |
| <ul> <li>5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ req the application in condition for allowance the affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ul>   | because: <u>See Continuation</u>   | Sheet.   |
| . For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w   | nt(s) a)⊡ will not be enterd<br>vould be rejected is provid  | ed or b)⊡ will be entered and an<br>ed below or appended.  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   | :  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |
| . The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | s a) ☐ approved or b) ☐  | disapproved by the Examiner.   |
| . Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme   | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N  | No(s)  |
|  | • •  |  |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Of reasons of record. It is further noted that Hirose et al. disclose the lower ink receiving layer functioning to absorb dye that is not absorbed by the surface layer, thereby increasing the optical density of the image. (see col.6, lines 16-32 and col. 7, lines16-36). This disclosure is believed to support that the surface layer is inherently transparent. If it were not, dye absorbed in the ink-receiving layer would not increase optical density .